

LIEBERMAN & BRANDSDORFER, LLC*Attorneys at Law*

12221 McDonald Chapel Drive
Gaithersburg, Maryland 20878-2252
Tel. (301) 948-7775 Fax. (301) 948-7774
E-mail: info@legalplanner.com
Web Site: http://www.legalplanner.com

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
MAR 10 2005

FAX COVER PAGE

TO: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

TELEFAX #: (703) 872-9306

ATTENTION: Examiner Auve

DATE: March 10, 2005

TIME: 4:20 p.m.

NUMBER OF PAGES: 7 total page(s) (including this cover)

FROM: Rochelle Lieberman, Esq.

RE: Application Serial No.: 10/040,131

DESCRIPTION: Response to Final Office Action

COMMENT:

Voice Confirmation Required:

☐

Yes

☒

No

Original to Follow by Mail/Courier:

☐


Yes

☒

No

The information contained in this telefacsimile message is transmitted by an attorney. It is privileged and confidential, intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copy of this communication is strictly prohibited. If this communication has been received in error, please notify us immediately by telephone, collect if necessary, and return the original message to us at the above address via the U.S. Postal Service (we will reimburse postage). Thank you.

Please contact the named sender at the above listed number if you do not receive all pages.

CERTIFICATION OF TRANSMISSION	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. (703) 872-9306 on March 10, 2005.	
3/10/2005 Date of Deposit	 Rochelle Lieberman

PATENT
Atty. Docket No.: BEA920010037US1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:	Peacock	Group Art Unit:	2111
SERIAL NO.:	10/040,131	Examiner:	Auve, Glenn
FILING DATE:	January 2, 2002		
FOR:	PC Card Motion Detector		

RESPONSE TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
Mail Stop: AF

Sir:

Enclosed is a response to the above-identified patent application.

- ☐ ☐ verified statement(s) claiming small entity status
☐ are also enclosed ☐ was submitted previously.
☐ A Petition for Extension of Time is also enclosed.
☐ An Associate Power of Attorney is also enclosed.
☒ No additional fee is required.
☐ An additional fee is required, and is calculated as shown below:


FEE CALCULATION TABLE					
	NO. OF CLAIMS	HIGHEST NO. OF CLAIMS PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	EXTRA CLAIMS	RATE	ADDT'L FEE
Total Claims	20	MINUS 20 =	0	x \$50 =	\$0
Independent Claims	3	MINUS 3 =	0	x \$200 =	\$0
If Amendment adds multiple dependent claims, add \$220.00					
Patent Extension Fee Under 37 C.F.R. §1.136(a) for ___ Months					N/A
Total Amendment Fee					
If small entity status is claimed, subtract 50% of Total Amendment Fee					
TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE DUE FOR THIS AMENDMENT					\$0

☐ A Credit Card Payment Form in the amount of \$___ is enclosed.

☐ Charge \$_____ to Deposit Account No.

Respectfully submitted,

By:



Rochelle Lieberman
Registration No. 39,276
Attorney for Applicant

Lieberman & Brandsdorfer, LLC
12221 McDonald Chapel Drive
Gaithersburg, MD 20878-2252
Phone: (301) 948-7775
Fax: (301) 948-7774
email: rocky@legalplanner.com

Date: March 10, 2005

CERTIFICATION OF TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. (703) 872-9306 on March 10, 2005.

3/10/2005
Date of Deposit

Rochelle Lieberman
Rochelle Lieberman

PATENT

Atty Docket No.: BEA9-2001-0037-US1

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER****MAR 10 2005****IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of: Peacock

SERIAL NO.: 10/040,131

FILING DATE: January 2, 2002

FOR: PC Card Motion Detector

Group Art Unit: 2111

Examiner: Auve, Glenn

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
Mail Stop: AF

Sir:

In response to the Non-Final Office Action dated January 11, 2005, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the outstanding rejection(s) of the claims in view of the remarks that follow.